On the matter of sex which must be important given the extent of Biblical & human concern with it. Mothers will tell their daughter’s sex is meaningful & personal; fathers inform sons sex is essential to accomplishment; the Bible insists sex is in some way ~sacred~ No matter what these differing points of view; all humans variously agree sex is in some way **different** to all our other biological functions.

Birds have sex to begat more birds, animals have sex to begat more animals of the same species.

Humans have sex to begat almost anything we damn well choose; *& thus play havoc with the laws of biology & the processes of genetics..*

Sex has obvious application in making pee in your lap type two legged babies; **yet our sex drives are the bio-chemical engine that drives the entire range of procreative capacities.**

Humans can have lots of sex, produce few babies but conceive, gestate, bring forth into the world, then nourish & sustain advances in developed agriculture.

Humans can have lots of sex, produce few babies but conceive, gestate, bring forth into the world, then cultivate advances in developed industry.

Humans can have lots of sex, produce few babies but conceive, gestate, bring forth into the world, then explore abstract concepts in all the fields of the arts.

Humans can have lots of sex, produce few babies but conceive, gestate, bring forth into the world, then nourish & sustain advances in rational philosophy & improved social administration.

Priests Monks & Nuns can have NO physical sex but remain in some way ~fertile~ as they expand & develop the domain of the sacred so people can enjoy a meaningful & fulfilling life within that estate. *That’s where Brer Rabbit threw in the towel; totally outclassed in the business of procreation.*

Modern humans can have lots of sex, produce few babies but conceive, gestate, bring forth into the world, then nourish & sustain; the complex body of sciences & technologies which allow a Boeing 747 to fly at 35,000 feet.

Some time ago an older gentleman wrote to the paper to complain *~monkeys take one sniff a females scent; know that sex is off so happily socialize. Humanities constant obsession with sex is DISGUSTING & makes a mockery of our claim to be a higher species~*

To which I responded *~****get over it mate****, being constantly sexed is the only reason we are a higher species~*

Humans are sexually on-call 24/7 because we are constantly procreating over a diverse range. Humanity is economy of design in a compact package; which requires all our procreative capacities to be driven by a single bio-chemical engine.

Atheists hate Christianities approach to sex; it seems we have no respect for the laws of biology & even less respect for the science of genetics.

# While the Bible holds us made in the image of God, due our capacity to imitate him at least at a lower level, by constantly (pro) creating *Ex-nihilo* so to speak*.*

Thus when God said go forth & multiply **he was not talking to a rabbit**, but to a species with a range of procreative capacities no animal ever dreamed off. We are required to keep all our capacities in managed balance with each other, so we do not overload biosphere, progress & other developments by burden of over-population or any excess of appetites.

The Bible hold sex is for the purpose of procreation; it requires all sexually active couples to be committed to each other, to value society & be committed to God; then raise all their various forms of progeny or ~**issue**~ in a manner beneficial to humanity & creditworthy in God.

*….Blessed be the names of the Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit…….amen, amen, amen….*

Close… Ken Maynard; Auckland, New Zealand. Email… [communichristi@gmail.com](mailto:communichristi@gmail.com)

Additional note on Sanctity of Life Theology appended on page 3 below…

To address matters of Sanctity of Life Theology; this was developed as a reaction to some quite brutal customs in the past.

Security of provision for early hunter-gatherer tribes could vary considerably month to month; burden of responsibility to provide was vested in the ~collective~  *It takes nine month to bake a bun in the oven & for hunter-gatherer groups a lot could change in that time.*  If a child was conceived in good circumstances then born into bad circumstances; the collective abandoned the new-born & left it to die.

Early developer-builder societies had become bigger & more impersonal; duty of provision no longer vested in the collective but in the ~individual/s~ directly involved. A newborn would be laid at the father’s feet, if he picked it up he agreed to provide & the child would live; if he turned & walked away the child was abandoned & left to die.

Add in other issues like excess children being sold into various forms of ill-usage or forcefully enslaved plus cannibalism; *also cyclic wars routinely liquidating population surpluses;* it was determined humanity needed to get its act together & lift its game.

Sanctity of Life Theology held every conceived child wanted by God; so entitled to loving parents, a stable family & adequacy of provision. All of which was a very noble uplifting aspiration; one which required people ~**take ownership**~ of this matter so improving our own self-management of it.

Yet while this **New Year’s resolution** was a worthy aspiration, it did not ~off itself~ increase humanities practical ability to so provide. This was the rock on which sanctity of life theology was to too often founder.

Parents often incurred high levels of self-sacrifice in order to provide for their children; aging & sick family members sometimes helped into the next life a bit early to make way for the healthy; children still suffered hardship & cruelty: were victims of war & abuse plus inadequacy of provision.

In worse cases diseases like Kwashiorkor thrived, conditions in which inadequate diet*, particularly protein shortage;* combined with inadequate shelter & warmth to physically & mentally impair its victims. As recently as 100 years ago Catholic immigrants to new world Protestant colonies were looked down on, as physically lazy mental retards fit only as domestic servants. If Sanctity of Life & Quality of Life are one & the same thus mutually interchangeable; this take ownership New Year’s Sanctity of life resolution singularly failed to deliver the requisite QOL.

Today we live in different conditions, this homepage document attests the various forms of **issue** our sexual activities bring forth. People live longer & need less children; environmental limits plus technology disenfranchising humans as contributory producers mean we need even less tomorrow.

I am happy with biological birth control; I am a little more uneasy about early term abortions, but I can live with such considering our alternatives are worse. In the finish Sanctity of Life equates with Quality of Life for the individual & surety of an ongoing life on worthwhile terms for the collective. If doctrinally literalist sanctity of life advocates believe otherwise; **they are wrong.**