Public questions on whether we are achieving much in Afghanistan; begs the question can Islam ever be reformed as a whole?

Islam stands on four foundation stones & its whole structural engineering is contingent on these four corner stone’s mutually supporting & reinforcing each other.

Muhammad is a Holy Prophet, the Quran a Holy book, the Hadith a Holy tradition & the Sharia HOLY LAW.

All four are drawn from a common font held INERRANT, so all four must be upheld literally to the very letter if Islam’s vindictive & capricious Allah entity is to be appeased.

All four stand on the assumption God spoke literally & verbatim through Muhammad, & everything received from God DIRECTLY is both inerrant & Holy.

I am a student of religions myself, & as my Webb-site attests I have deep respect for them. My base position is; what is the worth of humanity unless we constantly strive for something higher & better? Most of our Gods are ~abstract constructs~ comprising these higher ENDS to strive for, & the Biblical God the highest of the lot.

Yet I regard Muhammad’s contrived trance states, where God supposedly literally spoke through him; endorsing everything Muhammad wanted no matter how wrong he was; & condemning all who opposed Muhammad no matter how right they were, as nothing more than a con-man running a number to profit himself.

Islam’s base problem is as all four are drawn from a common font held as Holy & ~inerrant~ then all four are co-equally Holy & ~binding~

If the Sharia is rejected not only as errant, but monstrously aberrant; then all four works must be rejected as not only as ~co-equally~ errant but ~co-equally~ aberrant.

Which then reverts to the Christian position; Muhammad is a HERETIC & all the works that proceed from him are heretical.

The secular west holds we can respect the Prophet but must prohibit practice of his Sharia codes. Yet as the Sharia proceeds from the Prophet any rejection & disrespect of the Sharia is rejection & disrespect off the Prophet himself, thus rejection & disrespect off Islam as a WHOLE.

I abhor the Sharia (I don’t think much of Islam’s other works either) YET I UNDERSTAND THE MULLAH’S DETERMINATION TO UPHOLD THE SHARIA AT ALL COSTS. If the Sharia is unholy all the other works of Muhammad are coequally unholy; which is GAME OVER for Islam, Islam no longer has a *presumed* Divine Mandate to stand on

I also understand why so many Muslims ~submit~ to the Sharia even though they themselves don’t like it & regard it as monstrous. They too KNOW IN THEIR HEARTS; if the Sharia is rejected Islam is FINISHED.

The above seems to answer the questions some ask; why did the victims at the swimming pool in Afghanistan & victims of Sharia atrocities in all other places, not make a run for it or put up a fight? The answer in my view is all Muslims know in their heart of hearts; the Sharia must be submitted too as HOLY LAW or it is game over for Islam.

Thus it is not just a few mad Mullahs which western thinking finds convenient scape-goats; it is the deeply embedded ~cultural conditioning~ or brainwashing of Islam as a whole.

Thus EVERY PRACTICING MUSLIM is jointly & severally culpable, not just a few mad Mullah’s.

I do not see a military solution to this; the only solution is a process of ~conversion~ to some other faith. Muslims have to reject Islam in its entirety as not only errant but monstrously aberrant, so turning to some more constructive faith system; OR WE ARE ALL STUFFED.

……………………….

There is no point in my ranting on; the work below is as short & succinct as I can make it.

Some parts of it are a bit like asking; why did the chicken cross the road.

Yet my theses on how Islam first got established; is one where more of the pieces seem to fit together, than any other explanation I have ever seen.

Islam is one of the most troublesome constructs ever built by man.

It is clear Muhammad never loved anyone in his entire life; rather his fellow humans were reduced to mere ~things~ If my car serves & pleases me I will look after it to the extent I feel I need to. If it ceases to serve or please it is going to the slave market to be sold; or going to the wreckers to be destroyed. Such was Muhammad’s relationship with his fellow humans, even his wives.

Next he was a very clever man; he had to have a high IQ to pull of what he did. People with high IQ tend to be more than averagely sensitive souls; thus are more deeply affected by life’s traumas than others.

No one is born without the capacity to love, nor naturally inclined to be wholly unscrupulous in manipulating others; so how do I BELIEVE all this comes together…?

We know his father died before he was born; his mother when he was aged five. He would have been taken in by extended family as a matter of duty; largely as an unwanted spare part not well treated or cared for; hardly a happy situation for a more than averagely sensitive soul.

He would have quickly learnt no-body cares for me; I have to look out for myself.

Nobody cares for me means he shut down his capacity to love; which he never turned back on.

I have to look out for myself; means he become adroit at inventing stories calculated to manipulate & ~use~ other people for his own purposes.

I believe this to be Muhammad’s fully formed character by the age of seven.

Now all he needed to do was add POWER!

By his late forties he had a group of followers around him; while he contrived trance states in which God purportedly spoke literally & verbatim through him. He had added POWER.

My base equation for Islam…

ONE SCREWED UP SEVEN YEAR OLD x POWER = ISLAM.

The other factor in the equation is no seed will sprout unless the ground is pre-prepared to receive it.

In Roman times England was a viable proposition to civilize; Scotland difficult terrain, inclement climate, a primal tribalized people plus NO VIABLE ECONOMY. Subduing Scotland was not worth the effort, there could be no return on investment expended. The Romans built Hadrian’s Wall; left the Scots to fish for themselves & looked down on them as an inferior species. In its time China too, held those on the wrong side of its great wall as inferior barbarians.

Similarly; Sassanid Persia & the Byzantium Empire could NOT subdue & civilize the sparsely populated arid deserts of the Arabian Peninsula. The Arabs also were looked down on as an inferior species; WHICH THEY DEEPLY RESENTED.

Thus they were quite happy to receive a message from God directly; assuring them they alone were the truly faithful; with an open license to wage Holy War on all the more highly developed people who looked down on them.

Muhammad bought forth a seed; Arabian society was fertile ground happy to receive it…

result… ISLAM.

Add in the standing civilizations were ~old & tired~ It had been several centuries since their great accomplishments; people had given up on them & made little effort to defend them. As a result early Islamic expansion seized a great swathe of territory.

…………………………..

On other matters; Islam subscribes to ~the absolute indivisible ONENESS of God~ which means all works proceeding from Muhammad stand or fall ~together~ Muslims have no license to embrace some of his works as agreeable while disdaining other works from the same font as disagreeable. The whole must be upheld & practiced with absolute literacy to the very letter.

By comparison Christians subscribe to a Trinitarian God-head which is interactively responsive to changing conditions as humanity advances; it has the inbuilt pliability to adapt to ongoing human development as it goes. We also hold the Bible as ~representative~ higher truths. The literalist Christian cults have never gone anywhere.

The Biblical faiths have differences with all other faiths, but can work with them as they too are ~good-faith~ efforts; albeit at a lower level than the Bible.

The Isaac Covenant requires a ~duty of care~ to the followers of the Biblical God. The Ishmael Covenant requires a ~duty of consideration~ to the lower level developer builder faiths; (Zoroaster, Hinduism, Daoism, civil religions et al) which attests difference but does allow a working inter-relationship based on respect for their intrinsic worth.

By comparison Islam imposes a ~duty of compliance~ to the arbitrary edicts of Islam on its faithful. It also requires ~duty of subjugation~ or coerced submission in relation to all other faiths. All edicts received through Muhammad are deemed **direct** from the big boy in the sky; thus ~**duty of submission**~ NOTHING ELSE TO DISCUSS.

There is no meeting ground between the Christian West & Islam; they are two different world-views, not only inimical to each other, but the **antitheses** of each other.

Such is the much vaunted ~otherness~ of Islam; as in ~other than~ all the other faiths striving for some ~good-faith~ betterment of humanity.

One Muslim missionary to NZ I debated with ardently holds Islam has a plan for world peace; while this plan has never been implemented due to the perfidious Infidel rejecting it; if implemented it would actually work.

My response to that claim is Islam’s plan for world peace is contingent on normative human nature becoming ~worse~ than it actually is. If we have no capacity to change our natures for the better, we equally have no capacity to change for the worse; I believe we should be grateful for that small blessing.

Yet even if implemented, say in the ideal conditions predicted for the last days; any peace contingent on human nature becoming worse; will prove NO PEACE AT ALL.

In my intermittent debate with Muslims they keep accusing me of being misinformed about Islam’s great accomplishments.

Thus they evade & avoid my **hard questions**; like why did all these good Muslims not recover the 250 school girls kidnapped by Boko Haram & restore them to their families? Why was one young girl trying to escape a coerced marriage given 101 lashes in a public punishment attended by over 1,000 ~good Muslims~ from her local community; & not one of them stood up & said hey we can’t do this?

All I get in return is **putdowns** about my ignorance of Islam & its great accomplishments. My response is the Nazi’s achieved some big advances too; but given the way they treated people, I DON’T RESPECT OR CARE FOR THEM ONE LITTLE BIT.

In closing may I recommend ~the Hidden Half~ by Stuart Robinson, available of his AU Webb-site for about NZ$20.

Lastly the Bible proclaims peace on earth & goodwill to all men. This attests ~hey we are alive & have a life~ be content; stop clawing each-other’s eyes out to instead build better relationships.

It does not mean a literal peace in the sense of cessation of all struggle, hardship & contest.

Some followers of the Bible have claimed this to mean **Gods plan**; for a literal peace in this world in the here & now.

My first problem with such claims is it is contingent on normative human nature becoming better than it actually is; & we have no capacity to change normal human nature.

My second problem; if human nature became better than it is it would ~neuter~ our functions as a progressively developing species. I do not feel peace at the price of a ~state of arrested development~ is a peace worth having.

Yet I agree such a peace seems possible in the last days when humanity has reached a higher plane in its development.

Ken Maynard.
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